

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

11 LOGIC DEVICES, INC.,

12 Plaintiff,

No. C 13-02943 WHA

13 v.

14 APPLE INC.,

15 Defendant.

**ORDER RE ATTORNEY'S FEES
AND NON-TAXABLE COSTS**

17 1. As recently held, Apple Inc. is entitled to recover reasonable attorney's fees and
18 non-taxable costs. The following procedure will be used to determine the amount of the award.

19 2. No later than **DECEMBER 18, 2014 AT NOON**, Apple's attorneys must file and
20 serve a detailed declaration, organized by discrete projects, breaking down all attorney and
21 paralegal time sought to be recovered. For each project, there must be a detailed description of
22 the work, giving the date, hours expended, attorney name, and task for each work entry, in
23 chronological order. A "project" means a deposition, a motion, a witness interview, and so
24 forth. It does not mean generalized statements like "trial preparation" or "attended trial." It
25 includes discrete items like "prepare supplemental trial brief on issue X." The following is an
26 example of time collected by a project.

PROJECT: ABC DEPOSITION (2 DAYS IN FRESNO)

Date	Time-keeper	Description	Hours x	Rate =	Fee
01-08-01	XYZ	Assemble and photocopy exhibits for use in deposition.	2.0	\$100	\$200
01-09-01	RST	Review evidence and prepare to examine ABC at deposition.	4.5	\$200	\$900
01-10-01	XYZ	Research issue of work-product privilege asserted by deponent.	1.5	\$100	\$150
01-11-01	RST	Prepare for and take deposition.	8.5	\$200	\$1700
01-12-01	RST	Prepare for and take deposition.	<u>7.0</u>	\$200	<u>\$1400</u>
Project Total:			<u>23.5</u>		<u>\$4350</u>

3. All entries for a given project must be presented chronologically one after the other, *i.e.*, uninterrupted by other projects, so that the timeline for each project can be readily grasped. Entries can be rounded to the nearest quarter-hour and should be net of write-down for inefficiency or other cause. Please show the sub-totals for hours and fees per project, as in the example above, and show grand totals for all projects combined at the end. Include only entries for which compensation is sought, *i.e.*, after application of “billing judgment.” For each project, the declaration must further state, in percentage terms, the proportion of the project directed at issues for which fees are awardable and must justify the percentage. This percentage should then be applied against the project total to isolate the recoverable portion (a step not shown in the example above).

4. A separate summary chart of total time and fees sought per individual timekeeper (not broken down by project) should also be shown at the end of the declaration. This cross-tabulation will help illuminate all timekeepers' respective workloads and roles in the overall case.

5. The declaration must also set forth (a) the qualifications, experience and role of each attorney or paralegal for whom fees are sought; (b) the normal rate ordinarily charged for each in the relevant time period; (c) how the rates were comparable to prevailing rates in the community for like-skilled professionals; and (d) proof that “billing judgment” was exercised.

1 On the latter point, as before, the declaration should describe adjustments made to eliminate
2 duplication, excess, associate-turnover expense, and so forth. These adjustments need not be
3 itemized but totals for the amount deleted per timekeeper should be stated. The declaration
4 must identify the records used to compile the entries and, specifically, state whether and the
5 extent to which the records were contemporaneous versus retroactively prepared. It must state
6 the extent to which any entries include estimates (and what any estimates were based on).
7 Estimates and/or use of retroactively-made records may or may not be allowed, depending on
8 the facts and circumstances.

9 6. Ordinarily, no more than one attorney and one paralegal need be present at a
10 deposition; more will normally be deemed excessive. Ordinarily, no more than one attorney
11 need attend a law-and-motion hearing; more will normally be deemed excessive. To allow for
12 symmetry, however, the award will take into account the staffing used by the opposing party.

13 7. If the opposing party doubts the accuracy of the declaration, then the moving
14 party must immediately produce the original underlying time records for inspection upon
15 request. The opposing party must then file and serve any opposition. In this case, the
16 opposition will be due by **JANUARY 5, 2015 AT NOON**. If the opposing party contends that any
17 item or project was excessive, then the opposition must explain why and provide a declaration
18 setting forth completely all time expended by the opposing party on the same and on similar
19 projects, in the same format described above, so that symmetry may be considered, making
20 available the underlying records for inspection if requested. If any billing rates are challenged,
21 then the opposition must state the billing rates charged to the opposing party for all
22 professionals representing the opposing party in the case and their experience levels. The
23 opposing declaration must also state, as to each project, the percentage of the project the
24 opposition contends was directed at issues on which fees are awardable, stating reasons for the
25 percentage. This percentage should then be applied against the project total to isolate the
26 recoverable portion.

27 8. The opposing submissions may not simply attack the numbers in the application.
28 It must also set forth a counter-analysis. The counter-analysis should be in the same format

United States District Court

For the Northern District of California

1 required of the applicant, arriving at a final number. The opposition must clearly identify each
2 line item in the application challenged as excessive, improper or otherwise unrecoverable and
3 explain why. The opposition, for example, may annotate (legible handwriting will be
4 acceptable) the applicant's declaration to isolate the precise numbers at issue.

5 9. With the benefit of both sides' filings, representatives of the parties with final
6 decision authority shall meet *in person* (in this district) and confer to try to resolve all
7 differences as to the amount. If no agreement is reached, the moving party must file and serve a
8 declaration showing full compliance with this paragraph, explaining when, where and who met,
9 their decision authority, how long they met, what documents were reviewed together, and the
10 principal points of disagreement. All of this must be done by **JANUARY 13, 2015 AT NOON**.

11 10. If no agreement is reached, the Court will appoint **ATTORNEY MATT BORDEN**
12 (from the firm Braun Hagey & Borden LLP, <http://braunhagey.com/borden.php>) to serve as the
13 special master herein. As a service to the Court and to save the parties expense, he has
14 generously agreed to cap his hourly rate at **\$200 PER HOUR**. He is a former law clerk (2000
15 term) but never worked on this litigation filed in 2013. All objections and motions regarding
16 this potential appointment must be made by **DECEMBER 11 AT NOON**.

17 11. The special master shall have all the powers set forth in FRCP 53(c) and
18 FRCP 54(d)(2)(D). The parties shall provide the special master with copies of all motion
19 papers and other documents relevant to this dispute. The special master shall review the briefs
20 and declarations by the parties on the pending motion, hear argument, and then determine a
21 reasonable amount to award, including any fees on fees. The special master shall also
22 determine the extent to which any discovery should be permitted — with the caution that further
23 discovery should be the exception and not the rule. The special master shall then prepare and
24 file a report on recommended findings and amount of fees and costs.

25 12. Absent any supplementation allowed by the special master, the foregoing
26 submissions (together with the briefs already filed) shall be the entire record for the motion.
27 There will be no replies unless allowed later by the special master. Any further submissions for
28 the special master's use should not be filed with the Court. If objections are later made to the

United States District Court

For the Northern District of California

1 special master's report, the objecting party must file a declaration submitting to the Court a
2 complete appendix of relevant communications with the special master.

3 13. The parties shall be responsible for paying the special master. The Court will
4 allocate the fees of the special master in a fair and reasonable manner, taking into account the
5 reasonableness of the parties' respective positions and the special master's recommendation in
6 this regard. If the movant must pay, then the special master's compensation shall be *deducted*
7 from the attorney's fee award. If the opposing party must pay the special master, then it shall
8 pay the special master *and* pay the award. The Court will, however, reserve final judgment on
9 allocation of the expense of the special master until a final determination of the fee issue. A
10 final award shall then be entered.

11 14. All objections and motions regarding this order must be filed by
12 **DECEMBER 11, 2014 AT NOON.**

13
14 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

15
16 Dated: December 4, 2014.
17



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28